

SRI B. J. LINGE GOWDA)

party to whom the purchase of lease hold rights was sold in public auction and if not, the reasons therefor ;

(d) the action taken by the Deputy Commissioner in the matter and if no action is so far taken the reasons for inordinate delay ?

A.—Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) As the lessee had failed to deposit the lease amount in time, action was taken to attach the crops and a sum of Rs.1,572 was collected on 21st January 1962 upto the year 1961-62.

(b) Yes.

(c) No. The previous occupant obtained a stay order from Government on 12th August 1955 and hence the possession of the land was not handed over to the purchaser.

(d) Action for the recovery of arrears was taken and a sum of Rs.1,572 was recovered. Hence there is no delay in pursuing the question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಜೆ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಬಾಕಿ ಬರಬೇಕಾದ್ದು ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—೧,೫೭೨ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬರಬೇಕು. ಸುಮಾರು ೭-೮-೧೦ ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು ಅದು. ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಜನವರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಸೂಲಿ ನಡೆದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಜೆ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—1936-37ರಿಂದ 50ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಬಾಕಿ ಎಷ್ಟಿತ್ತೋ ಅಷ್ಟನ್ನು ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಜನವರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಂಡಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—1,572 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಲೇಸಿಯಿಂದ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ; ಇದನ್ನು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಪೂಜೆಗೆ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ತಾವು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಹಂಕುಗೌಡ ಎಂಬವರು ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಏನಾದರೂ ಮಾಡಿ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ನ್ಯಾಯ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—1,573 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡದೆ ಏಳುವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಮಂಗಳಾರತಿ ಅಗಲಿ, ಅಭಿಷೇಕವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವೂ ನಡೆದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಅನುಚಾನವಾಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲವೂ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಂದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ನಮೂನೆಗಳು, ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ತರಹದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಹಣ ಕೊಡದೆ ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆಯವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಜಮೀನು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇರೆ ಬಾಕಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದರು. ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಬಾರದಾಗಿತ್ತು. ದೇವರೇ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು, ದೇವರು ಮಾಡದೆ ಇದ್ದುದರಿಂದ ನಾವಂತೂ ಮಾಡ ಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಜೆ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—18-2-1955 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹರಾಜು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹರಾಜುದಾರನಿಗೆ ಏಕೆ ಜಮೀನು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಮತ್ತೇ ಸ್ಟೇ ಆರ್ಟರ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡುಬಂದರು. ನಾಲ್ಕೈದುಸಲ ಸ್ಟೇ ಆರ್ಟರ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕಡೆಯದಾಗಿ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲೇ ಜಮೀನು ಇರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಜೆ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—18-5-1955 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರು ಒಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹಂಕುಗೌಡರಿಗೆ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಕ್ಕೇ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಿಧಾನ ಮಾಡ ಬಾರದಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಂದತಕ್ಷಣ ಬರೆದುಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್ ತೀರ್ಮಾನದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತಕ್ಷಣ ಏಕೆ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಕಾರಣವೇನು, ಅರ್ಜಿನರು ಯಾರು, ತಕ್ಷಣ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬರೆದಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Promotion of Gramasevakas to the cadre of Panchayat Extension Officers in the Years 1960-61 and 1961-62.

*Q.—270. Sri SANJEEVANATH IKALA (Suratkal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of Gramasevakas in Mysore Division who have been promoted to the Cadre of panchayet Extension Officers in the years 1960-61 and 1961-1962 ;

(b) the number of persons among them who were promoted in accordance with the conditions laid down in the Cadre and Recruitment Rules governing promotions to such posts ;

(c) whether it is not a fact that nearly sixteen Junior Grade Gramasevakas who do not possess the required qualifications were promoted as Panchayat Extension Officers ;

(d) if so, the circumstance that compelled the concerned to go against the rules and conditions governing such promotions ;

(e) the number of appeals filed by Gramasevaks against these promotions and the action Government have taken on these appeals?

A.—Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA (Deputy Minister for Co-operation) —

(a) 22 Gramasevaks were promoted as Extension Officers (Panchayat) in Mysore Division during 1960-61 and 1961-1962.

(b) The Cadre and Recruitment Rules did not provide for the posts of E.O. (Panchayat) when these promotions were made. These promotions were made on the basis of *Ad hoc* principles adopted for the purpose and on the recommendation made by the Divisional Commissioner on the basis of seniority-cum-merit.

(c) No.

(d) Does not arise in view of (c) above.

(e) 9 representations were received from the Gramasevaks of Mysore Division. After examining the above representations three of the Gramasevaks were promoted as Extension Officers (Panchayat).

Sri SANJEEVANATHA IKALA. — Supplementary to (c): What are those principles?

†**Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.**—The principles are that out of the total number of posts, 50 per cent are filled by gramasevakas on the basis of seniority cum merit and the remaining is filled up from the Revenue Department.

Sri SANJEEVANATHA IKALA.—Is it not a fact that most of the South Kanara Gram Sevaks were not given due promotion?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—That is not correct.

Sri SANJEEVANATHA IKALA.—You said that three of them have been promoted. Have some of them filed writ petitions against these promotions?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—Out of the nine persons who made a representation to Government, three were promoted as Extension Officers, Panchayat; five were promoted as Social Education Officers and two of them have again been promoted as Panchayat Extension Officers.

Sri SANJEEVANATHA IKALA.—Is it not a fact that these promotions were made after the writ petitions were filed?

Sri Y. MANJIAH SHETTY.—That if not correct.

Sri A. KRISHNA SHETTY.—Why is it that the principles adopted by the Mysore Divisional Commissioner were different from these adopted by the other Divisional Commissioners?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—That is not correct. The principles that were enumerated were applied throughout the State uniformly.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Out of the 50 per cent, how many were promoted in South Kanara District?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—I can give you information for the Mysore Division and it is twenty-two.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ.—ಗ್ರಾಮ ಸೇವಕಿಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಂಡಜ್ಜಿ ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗಿರುವವರ ಪೈಕಿ ಯಾರೂ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri B. NANJAPPA.—How many promotion cases are pending before the Government?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—I want notice.

Sri A. KRISHNA SHETTY.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that a sufficient number of Gram Sevaks have not been absorbed by Government by promotion?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—All those who were entitled under those principles have been promoted.

Sri Y. MANJIAH SHETTY.—Is the Government aware that some of the Gram Sevaks promoted as Panchayat Extension Officers from South Kanara are junior to those who have made representations to the Government that they are entitled to such promotion?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—No promotions are made purely on the basis of seniority. Merit is also taken into consideration. Merit means minimum satisfactory service of three years, aptitude of the individual, special experience in panchayat work etc.

Sri Y. MANAJIAH SHETTY.—Has the Government received any representation from the Gram Sevaks of South Kanara that some corrupt practices have been practised by some Gram Sevaks to get promotion?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—I have no information.

Sri Y. MANAJIAH SHETTY.—Is it not a fact that the selections were made on the mere recommendation of the Divisional Commissioner?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—While making the recommendations, the Divisional Commissioner has taken into consideration all these principles.

Sri SANJEEVANATHA IKALA.—What are the *ad hoc* principles?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—I have mentioned them.

Sri A. KRISHNA SHETTY.—Has it come to the notice of Government that the Tulu-speaking Gram Sevaks should not be transferred from South Kanara?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—That is a different question.

Sri SANJEEVANATHA IKALA.—Will they stick to the Cadre and Recruitment Rules hereafter?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—Hereafter these rules will be applied.

Sri GANJI VEERAPPA.—Why is it that no Gram Sevika is promoted? Is it that they are not available or are not found fit?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—Probably they were not available.

Sri Y. MANAJIAH SHETTY.—In view of the finalisation of the seniority list of the Gram Sevaks, will Government revise the appointments already made in order to mete out justice to those who are entitled to promotion?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—These promotions are temporary and not permanent.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಮಕ್ಕಳೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಪ್ರಮೋಷಣ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂತಲೇ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂತಲೇ, ಅದೇನು ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ? They were not available ಎಂದರಲ್ಲ, what is the Report the Government is having?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—When I said that they were not available, I

meant that qualified Gram Sevikas were not available.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Will the Government make up their mind to call for applications from those who are qualified to function as Gramsevikas?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—That will be considered.

An HONOURABLE MEMBER.—What happened to the other six?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—Five have been recently promoted. Out of them three have been appointed as Social Education Officers and two as Extension Officers and one of them could not be taken up because his seniority was far below.

Estimate of Moogadimmanakatte in Santebachahalli Hobli, Krishnarajpet.

*Q.—300. **Sri N. NANJE GOWDA** (Krishnarajpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the year in which the estimate of Moogadimmanakatte in Santebachahalli Hobli, Krishnarajpet Taluk was included, in the Budget;

(b) when the estimate was sanctioned;

(c) the reasons for not commencing the work so far?

A.—Sri ALUR HANUMANTHAPPA (Deputy Minister for Minor Irrigation).—

(a) From 1957-58 to 1961-62.

(b) The estimate is not sanctioned.

(c) The Project is dropped once and for all, since it is uneconomical.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ನಂಜೇಗೌಡ.—ಮೂ ಗ ದಿ ಮ್ಹ ನ ಕಟ್ಟೆಯ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಯಾವ ಆಧಾರದಮೇಲೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದಿರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಲೂರು ಹನುಮಂತಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಕಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಅಂದಾಜುಗಿರುವುದು ೧,೫೫,೫೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗೆ; ಇದರಿಂದ ಐವತ್ತು ಎಕರೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ನೀರಾವರಿಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸೇಕಡ ೦.೭೨ ರಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರತಿ ಫಲ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಎಂದರೆ, ೨೬೯೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಇದು uneconomical ಎಂದು ಹೀಗೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ನಂಜೇಗೌಡ.—ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡದೆ ಅಂದಾಜು ಮಾಡಿದಿರಾ?